To: Wise, Louise[Wise.Louise@epa.gov]

Cc: Cleland-Hamnett, Wendy[Cleland-Hamnett.Wendy@epa.gov]

From: Beck, Nancy

Sent: Wed 5/24/2017 10:41:00 AM **Subject:** Re: budget fact sheet questions

Excellent--thanks Louise!

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT Deputy Assistant Administrator, OCSPP

P: <u>202-564-1273</u> M: <u>202-731-9910</u> Beck.Nancy@epa.gov

On May 24, 2017, at 5:47 AM, Wise, Louise < Wise.Louise@epa.gov > wrote:

Nancy- Good questions on the budget fact sheets. Bruce is pulling responses together, including fact sheets on asbestos and sugar beets, and answers to your other questions.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Cunningham-HQ, Barbara" < Cunningham-HQ.Barbara@epa.gov>

Date: May 24, 2017 at 5:25:38 AM EDT

To: "Berkley, Bruce" < Berkley.Bruce@epa.gov >

Cc: "Wise, Louise" < Wise.Louise@epa.gov>, "Morales, Oscar"

< <u>Morales.Oscar@epa.gov</u>>, "Scott, Gregory" < <u>Scott.Gregory@epa.gov</u>>, "Moore, Deon" < <u>Moore.Deon@epa.gov</u>>, "Morris, Jeff" < <u>Morris.Jeff@epa.gov</u>>, "Keigwin,

Richard" < Keigwin.Richard@epa.gov >, "Layne, Arnold" < Layne.Arnold@epa.gov >

Subject: Re: budget fact sheet questions

Got it. We'll get a revised TRI and new asbestos to you today.

Sent from my iPhone

On May 23, 2017, at 11:48 PM, Berkley, Bruce < Berkley. Bruce@epa.gov > wrote:

I looked at the original list of fact sheets that we developed and did not see Sugarbeets or Asbestos.

I am copying the programs so that they can develop sheets if they do not exist.

Thanks, Bruce
Sent from my iPhone
On May 23, 2017, at 9:54 PM, Wise, Louise < Wise.Louise@epa.gov > wrote
See Nancy's questions.
Sent from my iPhone
Begin forwarded message:
From: "Beck, Nancy" < Beck.Nancy@epa.gov > Date: May 23, 2017 at 7:38:56 PM EDT To: "Schmit, Ryan" < schmit.ryan@epa.gov >, "Wise, Louise" < Wise.Louise@epa.gov >, "Jakob, Avivah" < Jakob.Avivah@epa.gov > Cc: "Cleland-Hamnett, Wendy" < Cleland-Hamnett.Wendy@epa.gov > Subject: budget fact sheet questions
I have a few questions on our OCSPP fact sheets which I was asked to review to look at the talking points:
•□□□□□□□ EDSP- we mention that it will be absorbed within pesticides, but what about by LCSA (new and existing chemical reviews)? Was the original requirement specific only to pesticides?
• • • • • Pesticides budget-the talking points note the budget shift of funding source, but there is no talking point about how we will make up the 26.5M reduction. Is there anything we can say about this? Also in the table, in the last set of columns (the delta for \$ and FTE), the numbers don't seem to add up—or perhaps I'm not understanding what the total line means.

•□□□□□□□ TRI- it looks like the budget is being cut. However the background doesn't seem to mention the cuts and the talking points don't mention how they will be absorbed. Should we modify?
I would need to send the edits by COB Wednesday (tomorrow).
Finally, do we need fact sheets on asbestos and sugarbeets?
Thank you!
Nancy

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT
Deputy Assistant Administrator
Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention
P: 202-564-1273
M: 202-731-9910
beck.nancy@epa.gov

<Budget Fact Sheet Template - Endocrine Disruptors 5-8-2017 sb.docx>

<FY 2018 Press Conference Hearing Fact Sheet Pesticide Program Budget.docx>

<TRI.docx>